UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,783	03/24/2004	James B. Kargman	P06,0191-01	4264
26574 SCHIFF HARD	7590 12/23/200 DIN, LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT	HAIDER, FAWAAD		
6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/807,783	KARGMAN, JAMES B.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FAWAAD HAIDER	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification of the spe	n from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	to by the Everyiner
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/807,783 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-16 in the reply filed on 9/11/2008 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "photo-realistic" is ambiguous, and needs to be further clarified.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3627

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dragosh et al (6,078,886) in view of Suthar (2004/0143503).

Re Claims 1, 16: Dragosh discloses comprising the steps of: providing an order entry terminal for a customer to use to specify an order for a pizza, the terminal being connected to a point-of-sale system and including a display screen, voice synthesis generator, microphone, speaker, voice recognition processor capable of detecting the customer's spoken words and microprocessor controller (see col.4, lines 37-44, col.9, lines 31-60, col.3, lines 5-27, 47-51); prompting the customer to enter an order by speaking words in a human voice (see col.9, lines 31-60, col.4, lines 37-56, col.7, lines 14-31); detecting the customer's spoken words (see col.2, line 62 – col.4, line 43, col.6, lines 29-50); confirming the accuracy of the customer's order (see col.9, lines 31-60, col.6, lines 57-col.7, line 7); and entering the customer's order into the point-of-sale system (see col.4, lines 37-56).

However, Dragosh fails to disclose displaying a menu, GUI, and an image. Meanwhile, Suthar discloses a photo-realistic image of a pizza according to the order specified by the customer (see [0168]); displaying a graphical user interface to permit the customer to manually alter the order (see [0117, 0131]); and capable of displaying a menu of food items available for order (see [0004]). From the teaching of Suthar, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dragosh's invention with Suthar's use of a menu, GUI, and an image in order to have a "system with greater efficiencies for the restaurant owner and greater ease for the diner (see Suthar Abstract)."

Art Unit: 3627

Re Claim 2: Dragosh discloses wherein the method further includes the step of detecting the customer's initiation of the order entry process and responding by presenting a spoken greeting to a customer in synthesized human speech (see col.9, lines 31-60).

Re Claim 3: Dragosh discloses wherein the step of prompting the customer to enter an order for a pizza comprises the step prompting the user to enter their order by speaking in a narrative fashion (see col.7, lines 14-31).

Re Claim 4: Dragosh discloses wherein the step of detecting the customer's spoken words comprises the step of analyzing the customer's spoken narrative toward identifying the particular food order being placed (see col.4, lines 37-56).

Re Claim 5: Dragosh discloses wherein the step of prompting the customer to enter an order for a pizza comprises the step presenting a series of two or more individual prompts to the customer soliciting the user to enter an order by responding to individual prompts presented one at a time to the customer (see col.7, line 18).

Re Claim 6: Dragosh discloses wherein the step of detecting the customer's spoken words comprises the step of analyzing each of the customer's spoken responses provided in response to the individual prompts toward identifying the particular food order being placed (see col.7, line 18).

Re Claim 7: Dragosh discloses wherein the step of prompting the user comprises generating and presenting to the user words in a synthesized human voice (see col.7, line 18).

Re Claim 8: Dragosh discloses wherein the step of detecting the customer's spoken words further includes the step of automatically identifying the language spoken by the customer toward generating further prompts to the customer in the same language spoken by the customer (see Figure 2).

Re Claim 9: Dragosh discloses wherein the method further includes the step of providing the customer the ability to identify a preferred language to be spoken to the customer (see Figure 2).

Re Claims 10-15: Dragosh fails to disclose the following limitations. Suthar discloses wherein the step of displaying a photo-realistic image of a pizza according to the order specified by the customer comprises the step of displaying a static image of a cooked pizza (see [0168]). Suthar discloses wherein the step of displaying a photorealistic image of a pizza according to the order specified by the customer comprises the step of displaying an animation which illustrates the preparation of the pizza by the addition of each raw ingredient called for by the customer's order (see [0168]). Suthar discloses wherein the method further includes the step of displaying to the customer a user interface which includes a menu identifying various food items available for order by the customer (see [0004]). Suthar discloses wherein the order entry terminal is located within a restaurant (see [0004]). Suthar discloses wherein the order entry terminal comprises a customer's personal computer located remote to a restaurant and which is in turn connected to the point-of-sale system via an Internet connect (see [0004]). Suthar discloses wherein the order entry terminal is provided at a drive through location operated by a restaurant whereby a customer may place an order from a motor Application/Control Number: 10/807,783 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

vehicle (see [0004]). From the teaching of Suthar, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dragosh's invention with Suthar's use of a menu, GUI, and an image in order to have a "system with greater efficiencies for the restaurant owner and greater ease for the diner (see Suthar Abstract)."

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fawaad Haider whose telephone number is 571-272-7178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,783

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fawaad Haider/ Examiner Art Unit 3627 Page 7

FIH

/F. Ryan Zeender/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627